IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.924 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.750 OF 2007

Appasaheb Krishna Nulle & Ors. ...Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr. B.A. Jagoje for Applicants
Mr. A.R. Patil, A. P. P. for the State Respondent

CORAM: DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN &
SMT.ROSHAN DALVI, JJ.
DATED: 11TH SEPTEMBER, 2007

P.C.

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicants and the learned A.P.P for the State Respondent. In the above matter P.W.No.6 is the mother of the deceased, who did not depose to any incident of cruelty as contemplated under Section 498(A) of Indian Penal Code. P.W. 8 Doctor has conducted Postmortem. There are a number of contradictions in his evidence. However, the evidence of the Doctor clearly indicates that he could not rule out whether the death was accidental or it is natural.

2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant has also pointed

out that the applicant was on bail during the trial. Having regard to the above circumstances, we are also inclined to grant bail to the Applicant during the pendency of the Appeal on the same terms and conditions in which the Applicant was released on bail.

3. The Applicant shall execute fresh Bond and necessary surety in the trial Court, he shall also report to the concerned Police Station on first Monday of every month. Bail Application stands disposed off.

(SMT. ROSHAN DALVI, J.) **(DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)**